

Abstract

The effect of road bike damping on neuromuscular activation and power output

J. Viellehner¹ and W. Potthast¹

¹ Institute of Biomechanics and Orthopedics, German Sport University Cologne, Germany

* Correspondence: Josef Viellehner. j.viellehner@dshs-koeln.de

Received: 30 May 2020; Accepted: 30 June 2020; Published: 30 December 2020

1. Background

In cobblestone cycling races like Paris-Roubaix, vibrations do not only cause discomfort, but are also a potential performance-limiting factor by increasing neuromuscular demands (Munera et al., 2018, Sperlich et al. 2009). Seatpost and handlebar damping reduce vibrations on the upper body, but not on the lower extremities (Viellehner & Potthast, 2018). It is thus not clear if damping contributes solely to comfort or also to short-term neuromuscular performance.

2. Purpose

The study aimed to investigate whether vibration and damping affect muscular activation and if damping contributes thereby to performance.

3. Methods

Based on a cross-sectional, single cohort design, the two independent variables vibration (Vib vs. NoVib) and damping (Damp vs. NoDamp) were analyzed. To examine their interaction effects on the dependent variables muscular activation and maximum short-term power output, 30 experienced cyclists (mass 75.9 ± 8.9 kg, height 1.82 ± 0.05 m, Vo₂max 63 ± 6.8 ml/min/kg) performed tests with and without vibration. The vibration was applied to the front- (44 Hz, 4.1 mm) and rear-dropout (38 Hz, 3.5 mm) of a damped (Specialized Roubaix Comp, 2017) and non-damped (Specialized Tarmac Pro Race, 2015) bike. Cranking power was defined for each subject at 40% (137 ± 14 W) and 60% (221 ± 18 W)

of Vo₂max. The results presented refer to the low-intensity powerlevel, but are applicable for threshold power as well. Muscular activation (Myon, Schwarzenberg, CH, 1000 Hz, Butterworth 5-500 Hz bandpass, 2nd order, recursive) of gastrocnemius lateralis, soleus, vastus lateralis, rectus femoris and triceps brachii were recorded over 15 pedal cycles and are reported as the mean activation of the signal envelopes (Butterworth 15 Hz lowpass, 2nd order, recursive) over the pedal cycle. EMG amplitudes are normalized to the peak activation of the NoVib x NoDamping baseline condition at threshold power. The mean power of a 20 sec seated maximum effort with vibration evaluated performance on the damped and non-damped bike. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA identified the effects of vibration and bike damping. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the German Sport University Cologne and conformed to the principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. A more detailed description of the test setup can be found in Viellehner & Potthast (2018).

4. Results

With the presence of vibration, muscular activation of gastrocnemius lateralis, soleus and triceps brachii increased significantly, compared to the NoVib condition. No vibration effect was observed for vastus lateralis and rectus femoris. Damping reduced the activation of triceps brachii during vibration significantly. The mean cranking power of the 20-second maximum efforts with vibration was comparable for Damp and NoDamp (Tab. 1).



5. Discussion

Vibration increases neuromuscular demands partially. While the activation of distal muscles with high vibration exposure (Viellehner & Potthast, 2018) like gastrocnemius lateralis and soleus increased, more proximal muscles such as vastus lateralis or rectus femoris were not affected by vibration. The joint power distribution of ankle-, knee-, and hip joint indicates that the muscles affiliated to the knee and hip, which showed no response to vibration, contribute the major part to propulsion (Mornieux et al., 2007; Zajac et al., 2002). Therefore, vibration does not significantly impair the propulsion generation. Bike damping did not affect the muscular activation of thigh and shank muscles. Accordingly, the power output of the 20 second maximum effort with vibration was comparable for the damped and non-damped bike. For the upper body, activation of the triceps brachii increased with vibration. With damping, this increase was less extensive. This is most likely the result of reduced vibrations at the upper body due to damping (Viellehner & Potthast, 2018) and illustrates a potential contribution of damping to secondary tasks as stabilization of the upper body and comfort.

6. Conclusions

Roadbike damping did not change neuromuscular demands for the lower extremities or enhance power output during vibration. Therefore, damping does not influence short term performance, as in an isolated attacking situation on cobbles. Damping reduces vibration at the upper body, which contributes not only to comfort but also decreases demands on some of the stabilizing muscles in the arms. Considering the long race duration of a cobblestone classic of about 6 hours, it is reasonable to assume that comfort and fatigue-related aspects also contribute to the performance.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and participation of Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc. in this study.

Keywords: cycling, vibration, damping, muscular activation, performance.

References

1. Mornieux, G., Guenette, J., Sheel, A. & Sanderson, D. (2007). Influence of cadence, power output and hypoxia on the joint moment distribution during cycling. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 1(102), 11-18.
2. Munera, M., Bertucci, W., Duc, S., & Chiementin, X. (2018). Analysis of muscular activity and dynamic response of the lower limb adding vibration to cycling. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(13), 1465-1475.
3. Sperlich, B., Kleinoeder, H., Marées, M. D., Quarz, D., Linville, J., & Haegle, M. (2009). Physiological and perceptual responses of adding vibration to cycling. *Journal of Exercise Physiology online*, 12 (2), 40-46.
4. Viellehner, J. & Potthast, W. (2018). Acceleration transmitted to the human body during cycling: Effect of a road bike damping system. *ISBS - Conference Proceedings Archive: 36 International Society of Biomechanics in Sports (2018)*.
5. Zajac, F., Neptune, R. & Kautz, S. (2002). Biomechanics and muscle coordination of human walking: Part I: Introduction to concepts, power transfer, dynamics and simulations. *Gait & Posture*, 16(3), 215-232.

Table 1. Mean muscular activation and power-output for the damped and non-damped bike.

* indicates significant increase with vib (Vib-NoVib) ($p < 0.05$), # indicates significant decrease with damp (Damp-NoDamp) ($p < 0.05$).

	Non-Damped		Damped	
	No-Vib	Vib	No-Vib	Vib
mean muscular activation [% peak-baseline]				
soleus	0.27 ± 0.06	0.39 ± 0.15*	0.26 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.10*
gast. lat.	0.33 ± 0.07	0.37 ± 0.07*	0.34 ± 0.05	0.38 ± 0.06*
vast. lat.	0.24 ± 0.03	0.25 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.06
rec. fem.	0.32 ± 0.14	0.35 ± 0.19	0.31 ± 0.10	0.32 ± 0.12
triceps	0.68 ± 0.13	0.98 ± 0.23*	0.66 ± 0.10	0.78 ± 0.14*#