## The effects of block training periodization on pacing during 20-km cycling time trial

VP Costa<sup>1</sup> , LGA Guglielmo<sup>2</sup>, CD Paton<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Several studies have been proposed to accumulate consecutive days (5 to 14 days) of high intensity interval training (HIT) to rapidly enhance physiological indexes, alpine sky performance and cycling performance. The consecutive training stimuli also called block training periodization (BL) typically result in a large physiological overload and possibly a drop in performance in the following days due to incomplete recovery between sessions. When followed by an appropriate recovery period the BL can promote the body overcompensates and raises the level of performance above the levels already achieved by the training that had been done.

Purpose: The aim of this study was to determine the effects of BL periodization on pacing during 20-km cycling time trial.

Methods: Twenty trained male cyclists volunteered to participate in this study (weight,  $75.7 \pm 7.4$  kg; height;  $178 \pm 5$  cm; age  $35 \pm 10$  yrs; VO2max,  $4.6 \pm 0.5$  L.min-1). Cyclists were randomly assigned to one of two conditions; control group (C; n = 10) and block-training group (BL; n = 10). The first week the BL performed seven consecutive days of HIT followed by the second and third weeks, which cyclists complete the normal training. The study design included one TT before the HIT and two TT after seven and fourteen days cyclists finished the HIT. All testing were conducted on an electronically braked cycle ergometer Velotron. Participants were able to view their progress over the course on a computer monitor and were provided with information on distance completed and gear selected; all other information was blinded to remove any potential pacing effect. Cyclists completed 10 sets of maximal sprints lasting 15, 30 and 45 s and the work to rest ratio was 1:5. The sprints were performed at maximal effort and recovery intervals at a self-selected intensity below 30-40% of maximal aerobic power as a form of active recovery.

Results: The main findings of this study are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. Power output displayed a significant higher start since the beginning through the half of the TT (P<0.05) and power output was characterized by a significant higher end spurt in the final 2 km in the BL after two weeks of the end of training (P<0.05). In addition, after two weeks of the end of overload period the distribution of cadence was significantly lower throughout the TT (P<0.01).

Discussion: A likely reason for the changes in performance and consequently on distribution of power is probably due to the physiological effects of the training rather than any potential effects of pacing strategy (i.e. fast, even, low start) since cyclists were instructed to perform a self-selected maximal TT in both groups. Also neuromuscular adaptations after a short period of HIT probably increased the capability of the cyclists to produce more force resulting in less velocity in freely chosen cadence and consequently higher power output over the course during the TT.

Conclusion: Therefore, a short period of consecutive days of intense training enhances cycling performance and changes power output in the beginning end final part of the TT in trained cyclists.



	Table 1. Measures from the pre and post time that test in the block training group.								
	TT			TT – TT1		TT – TT2		TT1 – TT2	
	TT	TT1	TT2	ES	р	ES	р	ES	p
					value		value		value
Time (min)	38.4 ±	37.4 ±	36.8 ±	-	0.03	-	0.002	-	0.07
	2.2	2.1*	1.8**	0.45		0.78		0.31	
Power	277 ±	288 ±	296 ±	0.41	0.04	0.75	0.003	0.30	0.07
output (W)	26	28*	25**						
Cadence	94 ± 9	90 ±	88 ±	-	0.03	-	0.01	-	0.06
(rpm)		5*	5**	0.57		0.86		0.40	
Heart rate	166 ±	168 ±	168 ±	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.16	0.00	0.47
(bpm)	8	10	10						

Table 1. Measures from the pre and post time trial test in the block training group

*TT: time trial; ES: Effect size.* \* *p* < 0.05; \*\* *p* < 0.01.




Figure 1: Power output, cadence and heart rate distribution during 20-km cycling hilly TT of the block training group.

## References

1 Baron B, Moullan F, Deruelle F, Noakes TD (2011) The role of emotions on pacing strategies and performance in middle and long duration sport events. Br J Sports Med 45: 511-517

2. Faria EW, Parker DL, Faria IE (2005) The science of cycling: factors affecting performance-part 2. Sports Med 35: 313-37.

3. Gailliot, MT, Baumeister, RF (2007) The physiology of willpower: Linking blood glucose to self-control. Personality and Social Psychology Review 11: 303-327.

4. Knechtle B, Rüst CA, Rosemann T, Martin N (2014) 33 Ironmans Thriations in 33 days - case study, SpringerPlus 3: 269-277

5. Lane AM, Wilson MG, Whyte GP, Shave R (2011) Physiological correlates emotion-regulation during prolonged exercise performance Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 36: 181-184

6. Schumacher YO, Ahlgrim C, Prettin S, Pottgiesser T (2010) Physiology, Power Output, and Racing Strategy of a Race Across America finisher Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 43(5): 885-889

## Contact email: (VP Costa)

<sup>2</sup> Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC, Florianópolis, Brazil)
<sup>3</sup>Eastern Institute of Technology (EIT, Napier, New Zealand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Santa Catarina State University (UDESC, Florianópolis, Brazil)